

Oral Health Assessment and Review (OHAR): Implementing a Risk Based Approach to Oral Health Care

Paula Elouafkaoui^{1,2}, Jan Clarkson^{1,2}, Craig Ramsay³, Linda Young¹, Heather Cassie², and Doug Stirling¹ on behalf of the TRiaDS Research Methodology Group

Services Research Unit. University of Dundee 3. Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen



BACKGROUND

In March 2011, the Scottish Dental Clinical Effectiveness Programme (SDCEP) published the 'Oral Health Assessment and Review' (OHAR) Guidance in Brief.

The guidance operationalises the 2004 National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) Dental Recall Guideline and aims to facilitate the move from a restorative approach to patient care to a long term preventive approach that is risk-based and meets the needs of individual patients.

Stakeholder feedback gathered during the consultation stage of the guidance development process highlighted a number of potential barriers to conducting a comprehensive oral health assessment (OHA) in primary dental care.

To further explore these barriers



AIMS

The Translation Research in a Dental Setting (TRiaDS) Programme conducted an in-practice implementation study, focusing on the OHA component of the OHAR quidance.

The overall aim of the study was to investigate the barriers and facilitators to conducting an OHA in general practice.

The aim of this part of the study was:

patients' views explore of the acceptability and importance of receiving an OHA.


RESULTS (2)


METHODS

Nine GDPs were recruited to take part in the implementation study. During the prospective data collection GDPs conducted an OHA, as defined by the SDCEP guidance, on 10 of their patients who were due a routine check-up.

After their OHA appointment, patients were given an anonymous questionnaire to complete to gather feedback on their views of the OHA style check-up.


Patients were given the option to complete the questionnaire in the waiting room before leaving, or to take it home to complete and return to TRiaDS at a later date. Most chose to complete before leaving.





Dental check-up

- The majority of patients believe that advice on dental related factors (treatment, oral health, anxiety) should always included:
- Just over half believe that advice on social factors (smoking cessation, alcohol intake, diet) should always be included;
- The percentage of patients that believe advice on social factors should not be included was relatively small. (Table 1)
- The majority of patients were comfortable allowing their dentist to examine their head and neck and thought it was important to
- Most patients were willing to come more often for check-ups, if high risk;
- often, if identified as a low risk patient. (Figure 2)



General aspects of an OHA

However, fewer were willing to come less

Willingness to discuss dental, medical & social histories

• 95% were regular attenders at the dentist;

• The majority of patients were willing to discuss these factors (Figure 1) and responded that they would be honest with their dentist about them.



	Median	Should Include (%)	Neutral (%)	Should Not Include (%)	
Dental Related					ı.
Treatment required	5	97	1	1	
Oral health	5	96	3	1	
Dental anxiety	5	82	15	3	
Social Related					
How to quit smoking	4	57	33	10	
How to reduce alcohol intake	3	51	37	12	
How to improve diet	4	59	32	10	

CONCLUSIONS

- · Patients were willing to discuss medical and social history and believed these aspects of an OHA were acceptable and important;
- Most were comfortable having a head and neck examination and attending at riskbased intervals;
- In general, GDPs perceptions of patients' views towards an OHA were not observed.



RESULTS (1)

Demographics

51% were male:

Response rate of 91%;

Average age 53 years;



If you would like further information about this study contact Paula Elouafkaoui at paula.elouafkaoui@nes.scot.nhs.uk

TRiaDS would like to acknowledge and thank the practices and patients who participated in this study.

TRiaDS is funded by NHS Education for Scotland. The views expressed in this publication are the authors and are independent from the funding source.